

s.36

Comparative Assessment Matrix

Candidate Name	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	Presentation
s.36						
Craig Limkin	H	H	H	H	S	H
s.36						

Rating Scale: VH (Very High), H (High), S (Satisfactory), NS (Not Satisfactory)

Listed below is the ranking of candidates who were considered suitable for appointment, in order of merit:

Order of Merit	Recommendation								
<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ranking</th> <th>Candidate Name</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Craig Limkin <i>Preferred</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>s.36 s.36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>s.36 s.36</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ranking	Candidate Name	1	Craig Limkin <i>Preferred</i>	2	s.36 s.36	3	s.36 s.36	<p>The Panel found Mr. Limkin s.36 suitable for appointment and recommended Mr. Limkin as the preferred candidate.</p> <p>They felt Mr. Limkin's performance at the interview and presentation demonstrated his innovative partnerships and cross collaboration within State and Federal Public Service and ability to execute on strategic and complex issues. The Panel were further impressed by the strong endorsements received from his 360-degree references, highlighting his ability to build trusting relationships with Ministers and other stakeholders.</p> <p>The Panel believes that while suitable for the role having demonstrated sufficient leadership in high level, complex national and statewide initiatives, Mr Limkin will likely benefit from continued support to balance 'taking his team with him' with his positive disruptive tendency for innovation and change.</p> <div style="background-color: #cccccc; padding: 10px; margin: 10px 0; font-size: 2em; color: red; text-align: center;">s.36</div> <div style="background-color: #cccccc; padding: 10px; margin: 10px 0; font-size: 2em; color: red; text-align: center;">s.36</div>
Ranking	Candidate Name								
1	Craig Limkin <i>Preferred</i>								
2	s.36 s.36								
3	s.36 s.36								